1	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP				
2	KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (SBN 121570) kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com				
3	ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738) ahurst@orrick.com				
4	GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218) gramsey@orrick.com				
5	405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105				
_	Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759 PETER A. BICKS (<i>pro hac vice</i>)				
6	pbicks@orrick.com LISA T. SIMPSON (<i>pro hac vice</i>)				
7	lsimpson@orrick.com 51 West 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10019				
8	Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151				
9	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP DAVID BOIES (pro hac vice)				
10	dboies@bsfllp.com 333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504 Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300				
11					
12	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177) sholtzman@bsfllp.com				
13	1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460				
	ORACLE CORPORATION				
14	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) dorian.daley@oracle.com				
15	DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527) deborah.miller@oracle.com				
16	MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600)				
17	matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058)				
18	ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com 500 Oracle Parkway,				
19	Redwood City, CA 94065				
20	Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117 Attorneys for Plaintiff				
	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.				
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
23	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
24	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No	. CV 10-03561 WHA		
25	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER	ATION AND [PROPOSED] RE: MOTIONS TO COMPEL 403 AND 1413]		
26	GOOGLE INC.,	Dept.	Courtroom 8, 19 th Fl.		
27	Defendant.	Judge:	Hon. William Alsup		
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO COMPEL				

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's Order of December 24, 2015 (Dkt. 1407), on January 5, 2016 the parties met and conferred further regarding Oracle's Motion to Compel Compliance and for Sanctions (Dkt. 1403);

WHEREAS, during the parties' January 5, 2016 meet and confer, the parties agreed to a compromise designed to resolve both that Motion and another of Oracle's pending discovery motions, the Motion to Compel Deposition Topics 2-4 and 8 (Dkt. 1413); and

WHEREAS, Google states that it has agreed to this compromise in an effort to informally resolve discovery disputes without agreeing with the merits of Oracle's pending motions.

THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as follows:

- 1. Oracle withdraws its pending Motion to Compel as to the Deposition Topic 8 as discussed in Dkt. 1413 and this stipulation hereby resolves Oracle's pending motion to compel compliance and for sanctions (Dkt. 1403) and its pending motion to compel on Deposition Topics 2-4 (Dkt. 1413).
- 2. Google will produce the documents that are senior-executive level presentations that have been a subject of Oracle's pending Motion to Compel Compliance and for Sanctions (Dkt. 1403), as discussed by the parties. For those presentations to be produced that postdate 2013, Google may redact material that it contends to be non-relevant or otherwise protected from production, but Oracle reserves the right to contest any such redactions in a single additional motion to compel, and this opportunity to challenge the redactions is a condition of this stipulation. Google will complete production of the additional documents by January 15, 2016. In the event that a dispute arises regarding Google's redactions, the parties will promptly meet and confer, and any motion contesting the redactions must be filed by January 22, 2016.
- 2. After the documents discussed in paragraph 1 above are produced, Google will present the following two Rule 30(b)(6) witnesses to testify regarding financial information, as well as information within the scope of Topics 2, 3, and 4 of Oracle's Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice to Google (dated November 2, 2015): (a) a financial witness will be produced to testify for up to 3.5 hours, and (ii) Jim Kolotourous from Google's Global Business Group will be produced to testify for up to 5 hours. Google will prepare the witnesses to testify consistent with STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO COMPEL

1	Judge Alsup's standing order regarding Rule 30(b)(6) deposition preparation, Dkt. 26 at § 23, but		
2	Google does not represent that these witnesses have knowledge about the full scope of Topics 2		
3	through 4. Oracle withdraws its demand for additional 30(b)(6) testimony with respect to Topic		
4	8.		
5	3. These additional depositions shall be completed by January 30, 2016, and any		
6	further motion to compel regarding any asserted inadequacy of that testimony shall be filed by		
7	February 8, 2016.		
8			
9	Dated: January 6, 2015		
10	ANNETTE L. HURST Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP		
11	By:/s/ Annette L. Hurst		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
13	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.		
14	Detect. January 6, 2015		
15	Dated: January 6, 2015 CHRISTA ANDERSON		
16	Keker & Van Nest LLP		
17 18	By:/s/ Christa Anderson		
19	Attorneys for Defendant		
20	GOOGLE INC.		
21			
22	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
23	The foregoing stipulation is approved, and IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	110 1010going surpuration to approved, and 11 12 20 012 2122 .		
25			
26	Date:		
27	Judge of the United States District Court		
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO COMPEL 2		

ATTESTATION I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other Signatories to this letter. /s/ Annette L. Hurst STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO COMPEL